



FROM THE DESK OF THE LONE RACCOON

(Jeffrey O'Donnell - Telegram @ALoneRaccoon)

February 1, 2022

ALL WE ARE, IS VOTES IN THE WIND

- or -

WHAT THE HECK WENT ON IN KANSAS?

There is a big problem with an aspect of Kansas voter registration in 2020, and it highlights a big national problem that stems from (as many problems do) the HAVA act (“the HELP AMERICA VOTE DEMOCRAT ACT”).

One of the oft-overlooked aspects of this act was the establishment of HAVV identity checks, which is run by the Social Security Administration. 39 states are signed up to use HAVV, and 38 of them were actively using it in 2020.

It goes a little something like this. Charlie decided he wanted to register to vote in 2020. So, Charlie trundles down to his nearest election office and announces his intentions. But there is a problem. Charlie has either forgotten his driver’s license, passport, or other state issued ID, or he was too lazy or intellectually challenged to obtain a free ID card from his state. What is poor Charlie to do? Have the racist Republicans managed to disenfranchise yet another voter? Will the election office tell him to simply carry on, wayward son?

HAVV to the rescue! By supplying his name, birthdate, and last 4 digits of his social security number, he can still be registered if the clerk

enters this information into the HAVV website and it returns a match. Charlie gets his voter ID and goes back home secure in the notion that he is going to participate in our “Democracy”.

For reference, the HAVV system can respond to a check in the following ways:

1. Match (good to go)
2. No Match (something’s wrong)
3. Match Deceased (something’s *really* wrong)
4. Match Multiple (should be extremely rare)

That’s how it is *supposed* to work. (Maybe)

In the real world, some offices don’t have access to HAVV (or even the internet). So, they take the info, thank Charlie, and give him the voter ID. His info is sent somewhere else to be checked at a later date along with all the others who took the time to go to the election office but forgot their driver’s license. (If anyone brings up my recent adventure at the airport, there will be trouble). Some do, but the clerk receives so many rejections (more on this below) that they figure the system is broken and register the voter anyway. After all, Charlie has an honest face.

Based upon discussions with numerous people involved with elections, these checks are performed but the results largely ignored. This is a real problem and I believe that this is one of the methods used to pad voter rolls. My raccoon sense also tells me that we will eventually find a link to the ERIC registration system if we keep digging hard enough.

A reasonable person would think that the need for HAVV checks would be minimal. Most people have appropriate ID, after all, and most of them aren’t dumb enough to go somewhere important without it. (Shut

up all of you snickering in the back. I thought I had my ID when I left for the airport, honest.)

But why have I decided to pick on poor Kansas?

Because Kansas had the worst abuse of HAVV in the *country*. Let me give you the numbers, which are taken from the SSA's HAVA website.

In the first third of 2020, 1,823 HAVV checks were performed across the state. 399 were rejected, with 199 of them coming back as "deceased". Then, starting in May, something nefarious started happening. The SSA reports these statistics by week, and the week ending May 2nd, 3,113 checks were made. Of those, 743 were returned as "no match", and 741 were returned "match deceased".

Read that again.

This was a harbinger of things to come.

Look at the number of HAVV checks, the number of "no matches", and the number of "matched deceased" for the weeks up until the November Election. I am intentionally making a page break to give you time to cinch up your socks.

Week Ending	Checks	No Match	Match Deceased	% Failure
5/9/2020	9,494	2,220	2,079	45
5/16/2020	7,893	1,831	1,650	44
5/23/2020	8,198	1,869	1,688	43
5/30/2020	6,232	1,426	1,297	44
6/6/2020	8,679	1,982	1,758	43
6/13/2020	9,780	2,182	1,829	41
6/20/2020	11,601	2,492	1,874	38
6/27/2020	13,819	2,812	1,904	34
7/4/2020	12,071	2,397	1,461	32
7/11/2020	18,816	3,574	1,990	30
7/18/2020	24,156	4,246	2,035	26
7/25/2020	27,488	4,717	2,098	25
8/1/2020	35,955	10,138	2,153	34
8/8/2020	27,543	7,756	1,898	35
8/15/2020	35,241	10,006	2,220	35
8/22/2020	34,992	9,959	2,252	35
8/29/2020	27,697	7,834	2,095	36
9/5/2020	35,129	10,031	2,332	35
9/12/2020	26,186	7,478	1,773	35
9/19/2020	34,965	9,968	2,399	35
9/26/2020	35,276	9,969	2,432	35
10/3/2020	35,152	9,966	2,468	35
10/10/2020	35,270	9,977	2,508	35
10/17/2020	36,269	10,153	2,544	35
10/24/2020	39,032	10,499	2,585	34
10/31/2020	38,451	10,453	2,620	34
11/7/2020	38,021	10,433	2,653	34
Total	673,406	176,368	56,595	35

Yes. You see the numbers. You can't "un-see" them. Someone was making a big move to inflate the Kansas voter rolls and however they were doing it, HAVV checks were being involved.

Stated a different way, during this period, here are over 56,000 examples of attempted identity theft by using a dead person's information. I am certain that the authorities in Kansas investigated and prosecuted every single one of them. Stop laughing.

Remarkably, this same phenomenon continued after the election. Between the election and June 19th, 2021, an additional 1,330,639

HAVA checks were made, with 357,578 not matching and 119,919 matching deceased persons. Then, starting the week of June 26th... it basically stopped. Like some huge finger had pressed some huge off-button. The checks from that point on averaged 111 a week after that.

I want to point out now for the record that I know that others have reported on problems with these HAVV checks. (I myself wrote some web pages to view the data back in September). However, just reporting some strange numbers isn't ever going to fix our problems. Like many other issues, including the crazy Edison reporting numbers, Maidengate, etc, the tendency by many researchers has been to identify something, report on it, then move on without the deep analysis needed to explain the "why" and "how" of the anomaly, and if very lucky, the "who". We need to take every meaty piece of data we have and chew on it until half the bone is gone. Until it crumbles to the ground.

Serious questions need to be asked of the state of Kansas Election officials, and there must be demands to demystify the registration process as a whole in every state. While other states also show evidence that the HAVV system is being used for roll padding purposes, no state has nearly the number of deceased matches as Kansas. (Interestingly, Arizona is the only state with a higher number of overall HAVV failures).


The state of Kansas, being in complete denial that their election was manipulated as badly as anywhere else, will likely respond to these questions with wordy, well-written diatribes which will be, like Gilbert and Sullivan, full of sound and fury and signifying nothing. I expect the SSA will eventually hide or "correct" the online HAVV statistics.

Same Old Song.

This is another clue, my friends, into the opening act of the 2020 election coup. Use it well. Remember that you all have power, to the point of no return.

HAVV utility: <https://magaraccoon.com/havv.asp>

Overall graph of Kansas HAVV checks 1/1/2020 – 9/11/2021



States using HAVV Checks:

- | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| ALABAMA | GEORGIA | MARYLAND | NEVADA | SOUTH DAKOTA |
| ALASKA | HAWAII | MASSACHUSETTS | NEW JERSEY | TEXAS |
| ARIZONA | IDAHO | MICHIGAN | NEW YORK | UTAH |
| CALIFORNIA | ILLINOIS | MINNESOTA | NORTH CAROLINA | VERMONT |
| COLORADO | INDIANA | MISSISSIPPI | OHIO | WASHINGTON |
| CONNECTICUT | IOWA | MISSOURI | OREGON | WISCONSIN |
| DELAWARE¹ | KANSAS | MONTANA | PENNSYLVANIA | WYOMING |
| FLORIDA | LOUISIANA | NEBRASKA | RHODE ISLAND | |

States in **bold** are also ERIC states.

(1) – Delaware has very few checks (4) so apparently does not use